

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,087	03/06/2002	Jukka Jakara	003277-032	1172
21839 75	590 05/20/2004		EXAMINER	
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404			ALVO, MARC S	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1731	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			V/A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,087	JAKARA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steve Alvo	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1 Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ :	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	vente have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 2 2		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JONES (3,956,159).

See column 2, lines 65-67 and Example II of JONES (3,956,159). Claims and 3 read on the bleach solution of JONES (3,956,159), which contains glycerol triacetate.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JONES (3,956,159).

It would have been obvious to substitute the diacetate homologue of triacetate for the triacetate of JONES (3,956,159) as they would have been expected to chemically react in a similar manner. The diacetate would have been an obvious variant of the triacetate.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over COLLINS et al (5,853,428) with or without GASSENMEIER et al (6,225,276) or JONES (3,956,159).

Application/Control Number: 10/018,087

Art Unit: 1731

COLLINS teaches bleaching pulp with hydrogen peroxide or peroxy compounds which yield hydrogen peroxide in aqueous solution and teaches adding (column 2, lines 43-44) and teaches adding bleach activators, which produce peroxide in situ, including glycerol esters.

GASSENMEIER et al (6,225,276) or JONES (3,956,159) teach using diacetate glycerol esters as bleach activators to form peroxide in situ. It would have been obvious to use the glycerol esters of GASSENMEIER et al (column 4, lines 57-58 and claim 13) or JONES (column 2, lines 65-67 and Example II) as the bleach activator of COLLINS et al as they perform the same function of producing hydrogen peroxide in situ from precursor (bleach activator).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over COLLINS et al (5,853,428) with or without GASSENMEIER et al (6,225,276) or JONES (3,956,159) as applied to claim 1 above, with or without of EP 0 148 712.

COLLINS et al teaches adding chelating agents sodium hydroxide (lye), stabilizers and waster glass (sodium silicate) to pulp bleaching compositions to stabilize the peroxide and/or peracid. If this is not taught by COLLINS et al, then such would have been obvious from the teachings of EP 0 148 712. It would have been obvious to stabilize the peroxide or peracid bleach solution of COLLINS et al using the known stabilizers of EP 0 148 712 (see abstract).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Alvo whose telephone number is 571-272-1185. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/018,087

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steve Alvo
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1731

msa